

Since I no longer can  
post to the website  
I am curious to know  
if you have enough  
testimony to publish  
this response to get  
with your "Non answer"

Bob

Peter G. Kremlick  
1 Woodfern Court Columbia,  
South Carolina 29212 Phone 803  
749-2080 E-Mail [kremlick@bellsouth.net](mailto:kremlick@bellsouth.net)

November 29, 2007

Mr. Rick West, EC 12 Class Secretary  
139 Dardenelle Avenue  
Pacifica, California 94044

Dear Mr. West,

Thank you for your letter of November 21 which enclosed your "non answer" to the August 7 request for EC 12 Rules interpretations. I must admit to being more than just a bit surprised that you have chosen not to answer the specific questions that were asked. This is even more surprising, when my September 14, 2007 E-mail to you, provided a simplified decision flow requiring only yes or no answers.

Apparently the August 7 letter and September 14 e-mail were too long or too complicated for you to comprehend. You really should have asked and I would have been most pleased to provide something you might be able to handle.

One of the most puzzling aspects of your "reign" as Class Secretary is that you do such a great job with class administration and such a lousy job of supporting and abiding by either class or sailing rules. Although you say that you support the rules your actions contradict your words.

Please know that your "position statement" is totally unacceptable. You have a responsibility as class secretary to respond directly to the request and not dodge the issues.

I am also puzzled by your "non answer" comments regarding class rule 1.2 as noted below:

*"Class Rule 1.2 statement: "Unless the Specifications specifically permit something, manufacturers, builders and owners shall assume it is prohibited. "What does this mean?"*

*This rule has been stated by the public for prohibiting materials other than those stated acceptable by the rules in the building of the EC12.*

*This is not true. It is an assumption and not by the word a rule. "*

1. When you ask "What does this mean?" are you implying that the rule is not as it says?
- 2 \_ When you say "this rule has been stated by the public ..... Can you clarify what public stated what, when and where? I always thought that the rule was the one voted on BY THE CLASS and that the public has no place in the process.
3. Can (will) you please clarify what is not true about the rule??

The eloquence of your writing can only be surpassed by its lack of clarity and focus.

Would you be kind enough to cut out the pontification, platitudes and nonsense? The class history, your self serving pronouncements about what might or might not be in the interests of model sailing, the potential for an increase in work load, what is or is not a reasonable request and the other assorted side diversions you try to inject seems to prevent you from answering a series of honest questions with simple, straightforward answers.

Mr. West, I am truly disappointed that you have deliberately chosen not to make the requested rulings. It seems to me that your failure to follow Class rule 1.3 and make the requested interpretations is an abandonment of the duties and responsibilities of a class secretary.

At the risk of your complaining about non existent harassment" to AMYA or others, I am enclosing a substantially simplified request for interpretation of specific items. Please note that the request requires you to only make your mark "X" next to either "May" or "May not" at various places on the letter. Please sign it and return it to me so I can rebuild my boat before another sailing season is lost.

To make it even easier for you I am enclosing a Stamped self addressed envelope and an extra copy of the letter for you to keep for your files.

I expect you to honor the requirements of class rule 1.3 with simple honest answers. Will I get them?

**va::;~**

Peter G. Kremlick

PS, Although you should know that the class rules were changed with a class vote 2 years ago, you seem to have forgotten to advise the AMYA webmaster of the change after the approval. The EC 12 rules listed for the EC 12 on the AMYA site are not the current ones. Was it not your responsibility to notify the webmaster at the time of the change and furnish him with an updated copy??

Tisk, tisk, Dereliction of duty Again, Mr. West???

Peter G. Kremlick  
1 Woodfern Court  
Columbia, South Carolina 29212

November 30,  
2007

Mr. Rick West, EC 12 Class Secretary  
139 Dardenelle Avenue  
Pacifica, California 94044

Dear Mr. West;

This is a formal (albeit simplified) request for interpretation and clarification of current EC 12 Class rules.

Because The EC 12m class rule 1.2 clearly states:

- "Unless the Specifications specifically permit something, manufacturers, builders and owners shall assume it is prohibited."

Since it is a known fact that some boats are using carbon fiber material in non specified places, the clarification is needed.

Please make your "Mark (X) in the appropriate space, sign and return this letter once you decided which way you will rule in the event of any protest related to the issues below.

1. Carbon fiber material [        ] May, or [        ] May not be used to strengthen the deck from beneath.
2. Carbon fiber material [        ] May, or [        ] May not be used to strengthen the hull interior.
3. Carbon fiber material [        ] May, or [        ] May not be used to provide bulkheads in the hull.
4. Carbon fiber material [        ] May, or [        ] May not be used to provide rudder tube support within the hull.
5. The hull interior [        ] May, or [        ] May not be altered, strengthened or painted by an owner or his agent.

Any additional simple, straightforward clarifications or interpretations are welcome.

I look forward to your prompt response.

Very Truly Yours;

~~U.-

Peter G. Kremlick

Signed by \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
EC 12 Class Secretary